

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (COMMISSENER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DCC 2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09 922,162 08 06 2001 Paulus Schmaus 51631

26474 7590 10 29 2002 KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.

EXAMINER MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA WASHINGTON, DC 20036

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1764

DATE MAILED: 10/29 2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | pplicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 09/922,162 | SCHMAUS ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Virginia Manoharan | 1764 |
| | nunication appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI | | |
| after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c If the period for reply specified above is less than thir If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu | communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of ti m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ths after the mailing date of this communication, even | hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s | s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> . | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | |
| | ition for allowance except for formal moractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in th | ne application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | 0. | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to res | striction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by | y the Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a | are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by | the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any | objection to the drawing(s) be held in abo | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction | filed on is: a) approved b) | disapproved by the Examiner. |
| _ | e required in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected | d to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a cl | aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C | s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o | of: | |
| Certified copies of the prio | rity documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the prio | rity documents have been received in | Application No |
| application from the Int | ies of the priority documents have bee ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ction for a list of the certified copies no |). |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim | m for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clai | language provisional application has im for domestic priority under 35 U.S. | |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) | w (PTO-948) 5) Notice of | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| S Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 |

Art Unit: 1764

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of the possible minor errors e.g., typographical, grammar, idiomatic, syntax and etc.

Applicants' cooperations are requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. There are no proper antecedent basis for supports in the claims for the following recitations:
 - 1. "... the distillation column", claim 3;
 - 2. "... the distillation bottoms", claims 3 and 5;
 - 3. "... the vacuum distillation" claim 4;
 - 4. "... the distillation assembly" claim 6, and
 - 5. "... the suction side of a circulating pump", claim 6.
- b. The "an oxygen-containing gas" recited in claims 5-6 is at odds with the "oxygen" recited in claim 1, the claim from which it depends. The former could include for example, air, steam (H₂O), and not just oxygen.
 - c. In claim 6, line 1, "meter" should be-metered –
 - d. In claim 1, "any effective amount" is indefinite without specifying the function.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,162

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '498 abstract in view of Watson.

The Japanese '498 discloses substantially the process as broadly claimed in claim 1. While not positively recited, obviously the distillation of JP '498 occurs wherein there is no aromatic nitro or amino compound present in any effective amount. Watson is applied to teach that the concept of using xygen in the distillation of vinylaromatic monomers in the presence of 4-tert-butycatechol is a know expediency in the art. See e.g., col. 6, lines 29-49.

To incorporate Watson to the process of JP '498 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art so as, interalia, to avoid the generation of explosive atmospheres in the distillation of styrenes. See Watson at col. 6, lines 31-35.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. De '863 and Miller et al both disclose a method for the distillation of vinyl aromatic compounds including a tertiarybutyl catechol.
- b. Kemmere et al's abstract discloses the influence of 4-tert-butyl catechol on the emulsion polymerization of styrene.

. Application/Control Number: 09/922,162

Art Unit: 1764

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 703-308-3844. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marian Knode can be reached on 703-308-4311. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9462 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

V. Manoharan/mn October 29, 2002

10/20/20